

IOWA PARTNERS IN EFFICIENCY AWARD

Instructions/Criteria

ELIGIBILITY	 Eligible nominees include any not-for-profit: City / County / State entities Regents institutions / Community colleges K-12 schools Nonprofit or benefit organization Non-government organization (NGO)
CRITERIA	 Must be a significant process improvement with measurable results. Examples of measurable improvements include: Reduce lead times, reduce cost, elimination of paper, cost avoidance, improve services at the same or lower cost, increase revenue, labor savings, etc. The improvement must be accomplished via a Lean tool. The improvement must benefit lowa citizens or improve lowa's economic competitiveness. Process improvements must be implemented and in use for a minimum of 90 days. Key processes may include: Grants, licenses, permit application submission and approval, key administrative processes, processes where lowa citizens or businesses are ultimate stakeholders, etc.
 Follow application format. See sample answers on following pages. 2020 applications must be submitted by June 30. Electronic submissions ONLY, no paper submissions accepted. Send to: <u>tschust@iastate.edu</u>. Finalists may receive a site visit and have the opportunity to provide additional information. 	



The Iowa Partners in Efficiency Award recognizes a team or work unit within a not-for-profit that, through the use of Lean tools and techniques, significantly and measurably increases productivity and promotes innovation, resulting in the improvement of delivery of services to the benefit of citizens of Iowa and the private sector.

Activity/Event must have occurred no earlier than January 2016

Improvements must be implemented and in use for a minimum of 90 days

Timeline

- Award applications accepted March 1 June 30, 2020.
- Finalists selected July 31, 2020
- > Top three finalists may receive an on-site visit as part of the evaluation process
- Award made at the ILC Annual Fall Conference October 28 or 29, 2020

NOMINATOR'S	Name:
INFORMATION	Agency/Organization:
	Address:
	City, State:
	Zip:
	Phone:
	Email:
DESCRIPTIVE	Improved scan center process for efficiency and accuracy.
TITLE OF NOMINEE'S	Note: The achievement title should be EIGHT (8) words or less; it MUST begin with an
ACHIEVEMENT	ACTION VERB such as "Developed," "Reduced," "Streamlined," etc.; and, it should be
	understandable to people with no knowledge of your agency's operations.
NOMINEE'S	Agency/Organization:
INFORMATION	Name of Team or Work Unit:

	Number of People on Team:
ACHIEVEMENT OVERVIEW	Please summarize the problem that this activity/event addressed, the strategy used to address it, and the impact achieved. What makes this activity/event worthy of nomination?
	Was there anything that made this activity/event exceptional in terms of innovation or creativity, initiative or perseverance of effort? The use of Takt time and visual management so the unit could monitor and adjust without adminitrative time and directives was a huge cultural and business change. This approach significantly changed the role of the supervisor and team for daily operations, with the team empowered to monitor and adjust and the supervisor supporting those efforts, and working more on strategic changes further down the road.
METHODOLOGY	What type of Lean methodology was leveraged to achieve the improvement? (including but not limited to, Rapid Business Process Improvement, Kaizen, A3, etc.) Kaizen, with Visual Management
TIMEFRAME	When did the improvement activity/event start? 2/13/16
TIVIEFRAIVIE	
	When did the improvement activity/event end? 2/17/16
	When was the improvement implemented? 3/19/16
OPTIONAL	Is there any additional data, information, or explanation that you think will assist judges
INFORMATION	in understanding and appreciating your nominee's achievement? No extraneous attachments are allowed. The only things considered are those that are part of this application form. The use of Takt time was unique to determine workload balancing, and then the team came up with simple visual tools to help them know that flow was on target for the various functions in the process, and if not they knew how to respond to restore balance. The strategy was actually simple - create a visual cue, and when one function got behind staff shifted temporarily to that area to restore balance which only required some cross-training. This was never even thought of, let alone attempted previously.

RESULTS	Measurable Results	Before	After	% Improvement	
	Lead Time	48	8	-83%	
	Process Steps	172	153	-11%	
	Handoffs	8	6	-25%	
	Delays	14	6	-57%	
	Rework Loops	6	2	-67%	
	Revenue Increase: Annual \$ One-time \$ Labor Efficiency: (Redeployed or freed up resources) 5 FTE's Quality Improvements (define): Other:				
SIGNIFICANCE					
OF THE ACHIEVEMENT	All achievements nominated must address each of the following items:				
	My nominee's achievement solved a problem, improved a service or product, or improve an efficiency deficit: The delays in scanning paper documents required in the electronic process was intended t reduce handling and speed up accuracy and reduce lead time - but scanning was actual adding time, delaying customer products, and creating some federal audit risks because th unit was not able to meet expectations for timeliness. The delay also created extra wor when customers checked on the status of their work, taking staff off-line by checking an double-checking which backed up work queues rather than producing work.				

My nominee's achievement utilized a structured improvement process. Please draft a summary that indicates whether the nominee's achievement includes a continuing Control Plan/Visual Controls.

The team used a Kaizen over five days, with old and new process mapping, brainstorming and de-selection. The team also used and continues to monitor Takt time to balance resources to demand, and created simple visual cues to indicate when staff resources need to shift from one task to another to keep the balance between demand and resources. Monitoring continued formally for one year, and the unit staff and suprvisor now use and adjust regularly using the Lean tools and approaches they've learned with only occasional consultation with the Lean facilitator.

My nominee's achievement demonstrated sustainment for: (select one)

		A minimum of 90-days
	\boxtimes	One year or longer
ſ		Over 2 years

Who are the stakeholders and how were they involved in the improvement process?

The primary stakeholders were other agency staff further downstream in the business process "waiting" for the documents to be scanned into electronic documents to be processed. Several of those staff were involved in the Kaizen and follow up efforts. They helped to identify for example that the scan priorities were mis-aligned with the core business priorities, and helped to construct effective channels of communication so everyone always knows what current turnaround times are, which helped to reduce continously checking back for client by client status reports. The stakeholders also designed stakeholder surveys - pre and post - to measure improvement and additional opportunities for future improvements.

My nominee's achievement produced a service that benefits citizens or private sector.

- How will this improvement benefit the citizens of Iowa?
- Will this improvement have an impact on business and Iowa's economic competitiveness?

The citizens of Iowa applying for public servies benefit by more quickly receiving the services and supports they apply for, with less fuss and exhorting the process along - no longer a need to be a squeaky wheel.

	My nominee's achievement can be replicated. Please draft a summary that indicates whether the nominee's achievement has been partially or fully replicated and implemented within and/or outside the department (section/division/unit/etc.). Evey single one of the strategies used in this process should be able to be generalized to any other project using scanning processes as one means to create electronic documents. The tools and strategies are fairly simple, but teaching the new way of thinking and working is not easy - and the other lesson well learned here was the role and involvement of supervisors and management in inititiating the process and supporting it on down the road.
ENDORSEMENT OF NOMINATOR	I, , hereby recommend the above nominee. Title: Date:
	Date.
ENDORSEMENT OF ORGANIZATION HEAD OR	The responses to the questions on this nomination form have been presented by the nominator and/or awards coordinator as accurate and complete. Check box to attest that the application has been endorsed by organization head or designee.
DESIGNEE	Organizational Head or Designee
	Title:

For questions contact <u>tschust@iastate.edu</u>